



# Advanced Supercritical Carbon Dioxide Power Cycle Configurations for Use in Concentrating Solar Power Systems

## Preprint

Zhiwen Ma and Craig S. Turchi

*To be presented at the Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> Power Cycle Symposium  
Boulder, Colorado  
May 24-25, 2011*

NREL is a national laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy, operated by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC.

**Conference Paper**  
NREL/CP-5500-50787  
March 2011

Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308

## NOTICE

The submitted manuscript has been offered by an employee of the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC (Alliance), a contractor of the US Government under Contract No. DE-AC36-08GO28308. Accordingly, the US Government and Alliance retain a nonexclusive royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for US Government purposes.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or any agency thereof.

Available electronically at <http://www.osti.gov/bridge>

Available for a processing fee to U.S. Department of Energy  
and its contractors, in paper, from:

U.S. Department of Energy  
Office of Scientific and Technical Information

P.O. Box 62  
Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062  
phone: 865.576.8401  
fax: 865.576.5728  
email: <mailto:reports@adonis.osti.gov>

Available for sale to the public, in paper, from:

U.S. Department of Commerce  
National Technical Information Service  
5285 Port Royal Road  
Springfield, VA 22161  
phone: 800.553.6847  
fax: 703.605.6900  
email: [orders@ntis.fedworld.gov](mailto:orders@ntis.fedworld.gov)  
online ordering: <http://www.ntis.gov/help/ordermethods.aspx>

Cover Photos: (left to right) PIX 16416, PIX 17423, PIX 16560, PIX 17613, PIX 17436, PIX 17721



Printed on paper containing at least 50% wastepaper, including 10% post consumer waste.

# Advanced Supercritical Carbon Dioxide Power Cycle Configurations for Use in Concentrating Solar Power Systems

Zhiwen Ma and Craig S. Turchi

National Renewable Energy Laboratory

1617 Cole Blvd., Golden, CO, USA

Email: [zhiwen.ma@nrel.gov](mailto:zhiwen.ma@nrel.gov), [craig.turchi@nrel.gov](mailto:craig.turchi@nrel.gov)

## Abstract

Concentrating Solar Power (CSP) utilizes solar thermal energy to drive a thermal power cycle for the generation of electricity. CSP technologies include parabolic trough, linear Fresnel, central receiver or “power tower,” and dish/engine systems. The resurgent interest in CSP has been driven by renewable portfolio standards in southwestern states and renewable energy feed-in tariffs in Spain. CSP systems are deployed as large, centralized power plants to take advantage of economies of scale. A key advantage of certain CSP systems, in particular parabolic troughs and power towers, is the ability to incorporate thermal energy storage. Thermal energy storage is less expensive and more efficient than electric storage and allows CSP plants to increase capacity factor and dispatch power as needed – for example, to cover evening or other demand peaks.

Current CSP plants utilize oil or steam to transfer solar energy to the power block. These fluids have properties that limit plant performance; for example, the synthetic oil has an upper temperature limit of 400°C while direct steam generation requires complex controls and has limited storage capacity. Higher operating temperatures generally translate into higher thermal cycle efficiency and often allow for more efficient thermal storage. To obviate these limitations, alternative fluids are under investigation by research teams worldwide.

Supercritical carbon dioxide (S-CO<sub>2</sub>) operated in a closed-loop recompression Brayton cycle offers the potential of equivalent or higher cycle efficiency versus supercritical or superheated steam cycles at temperatures relevant for CSP applications. The S-CO<sub>2</sub> pressure is higher than superheated steam but lower than supercritical steam at temperatures of interest. The high pressure required for S-CO<sub>2</sub> makes application to trough fields difficult [4], and preliminary analysis suggests the fluid may be better suited for use in Power Towers. Even circulating high pressure S-CO<sub>2</sub> through a large Power Tower would be a challenge due to the volume and pressure of fluid being moved [9]. However, a modular power tower design introduced in this paper can take advantage of S-CO<sub>2</sub>'s potential without prohibitive piping costs.

In the proposed design, a single-phase process using S-CO<sub>2</sub> as both heat transfer fluid (HTF) and thermal power cycle fluid simplifies the power system configuration. The design is compatible with sensible-heat thermal energy storage, if desired. The simpler machinery and compact size of the S-CO<sub>2</sub> process may also reduce the installation, maintenance and operation cost of the system. Brayton-cycle systems using S-CO<sub>2</sub> have smaller weight and volume, lower thermal mass, and less complex power blocks versus Rankine cycles due to the higher density of the fluid and simpler cycle design. The lower thermal mass makes startup and load change faster for frequent start up/shut down operations and load adaption than a HTF/steam based system. The research will characterize and evaluate advanced S-CO<sub>2</sub> Brayton cycle power generation with a modular power tower CSP system.

## Introduction

Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> operated in a closed-loop recompression Brayton cycle offers the potential of equivalent or higher cycle efficiency versus supercritical or superheated steam cycles at temperatures relevant for CSP applications [2, 7]. A single-phase process using S-CO<sub>2</sub> as both heat transfer fluid for solar collector and working fluid for power cycle will simplify the power plant and may reduce the installation, maintenance and operation costs of the system. The high pressure required for S-CO<sub>2</sub> makes application to trough fields difficult due to the extensive piping in these plants [4], and the fluid may be better suited for use in Power Towers. In particular, modular towers can integrate an S-CO<sub>2</sub> power block within each tower to minimize piping size and length while taking advantage of the small size and weight with S-CO<sub>2</sub> turbine and compressor.

## Modular S-CO<sub>2</sub> Receiver/Generation Unit for Tower/Solar Field

The CSP plant design described in this paper uses S-CO<sub>2</sub> as both HTF and working fluid. The assumed capacity of the power block is approximately 5 to 10 MW. Each modular tower would house its own turbomachinery and multiple towers could be assembled in a single power park. Such a modular power park has been proposed by eSolar, although in their system a single power block is shared by multiple towers. The proposed configuration includes two-stage turbines to drive the CO<sub>2</sub> compressor and generator respectively, as shown in Figure 1. Because of the compactness of the S-CO<sub>2</sub> turbine/compressor, it is possible to reduce the size of the generation unit and integrate the generator unit in the tower as depicted in Figure 2. The benefits of integration include shorter piping and associated pressure loss, lesser thermal losses, and improved transient response. As a result, the system achieves high performance and significant cost benefits for CSP power generation.




Figure 1. Dual-shaft, tower receiver S-CO<sub>2</sub> Brayton Cycle solar thermal power system with thermal energy storage.

There are two options for arranging the turbine/compressor/generator with the modular receiver generation set to operate with or without energy storage. The design options for the modular configuration are described below:

### 1. Modular generation unit in the receiver without energy storage.

This is a baseline design that uses a modular tower with a small heliostat field. Turbine/compressor size depends on power rating and design parameters, such as compression ratio, shaft speed, and/or selection of axial or radial flow for the compressor and turbine. In order to have the S-CO<sub>2</sub> generation module integrated into the receiver, the design considers the power rating and generation configuration for power block.

The power block design assumes a dual-shaft turbine layout to separate gas compression and power generation shafts. The gas compression and power generation can run at different shaft speeds – each at its own optimum condition – so that the power generation matches the grid power frequency at 3600 RPM without gearbox. The compressor and compressor turbine run at much higher speeds for better efficiency. The high shaft speed for the compressor and compressor turbine reduces their sizes and improves performance. The high shaft speed results in a small size and better sealed system, and is very suitable for small power unit, as indicated in Table 1.

Figure 2 shows a face-to-face layout of compressors and the compressor turbine that improves thrust balance. A motor/brake system is inserted in the compressor and compressor turbine for startup and shutdown. Power turbine runs at constant speed in synchronization with the grid frequency. The face-to-face layout for power turbines also cancels the force exerted on the thrust bearing.

Table 1 shows the turbine size, shaft speed, and CO<sub>2</sub> mass flow rate for power rating of 0.3, 3 and 300 MW. At the 3 MW level the turbine size is 15 cm (6 inch) with a speed of 50,000 RPM, and it is possible to locate the turbine in the receiver. The module power selected in the current design is between 5 MWe to 10MWe, so as to take advantage of S-CO<sub>2</sub> Brayton turbine/compressor compactness.

The power rating will be designed for maximum system performance, size and weight, and commercial availability for a modular solar field. Future performance and cost models will study whether recompression benefits would outweigh the complexity and cost of additional compressor stage and heat exchanges.

Table 1. Turbine and compressor size vs. power (Ref. [7])

Power Rate (MW)	Turbine Wheel diameter (m)	Desired Shaft Speed (RPM)	CO <sub>2</sub> Flow (kg/sec)
0.3	0.04	125,000	3.5
3	0.15	50,000	35
300	1.5	3,600	3500

Starting from the basic modular design, the energy storage option is considered by adding the thermal energy storage for the capability of continuous power generation.




Figure 2. Schematic of a solar thermal tower receiver with embedded dual-shaft, S-CO<sub>2</sub> Brayton Cycle power generation.

## 2. Modular receiver with high-temperature, thermal energy storage integration

Modular S-CO<sub>2</sub> system plus thermal energy storage (TES) can reduce the impact of weather conditions on generation variability. Implementation of a large TES for longer storage hours may shift generation to accommodate peak hours or allow for continuous power generation. Unlike water/steam Rankine cycles, S-CO<sub>2</sub> undergoes no phase change and can be matched to current molten salt TES technology. Using design option (1) as a starting point, this design adds TES tank in the field to store solar energy for use during peak demand or under no-solar heat conditions. The TES system would probably be ground-mounted and shared between towers. This design can provide short term storage for weather transition and load shift simply and economically.

Several operating CSP plants use molten salt TES. The “two-tank” salt system maintains hot and cold salt in separate tanks. During discharge, the salt is pumped from hot tank to cold tank through heat exchangers that heat the HTF. The process is reversed during charging. The flexibility of the system allows for operating modes described as generation mode, charge mode, and discharge mode:

- Generation mode: All HTF used for power generation turbine and compressor turbine.
- Charge mode: Power generation turbine stops or operates at partial load, and part of the S-CO<sub>2</sub> is sent to the storage system, while heat is stored in the thermal energy storage tank.

- Discharge mode: Compressor and compressor turbine are driven by the thermal energy from the storage tank instead of receiving heat from solar receiver. The power turbine is driven by the high-pressure, high-temperature S-CO<sub>2</sub> from TES heat. This storage design provides short-term energy to drive the engine and bridge weather conditions such as passing clouds.

The shortcomings of a two-tank salt system include high system and material costs and a temperature cap (less than 600 °C) for salt stability. Other TES technologies under development involve thermocline TES, use of phase-change material, or other low-cost, stable material for high performance and more economical operations. Figure 1 depicts a generic TES system.

The advantage of a modular S-CO<sub>2</sub> tower receiver/generator configuration is analogous to a modular dish-Stirling engine of system in terms of factory fabrication and easy deployment. Instead of the low power range (~10 kWe for a Stirling engine), the modular S-CO<sub>2</sub> plant reaches multi-MWe levels that bring a scale advantage in terms of maintenance and cost benefits. In addition, the s-CO<sub>2</sub> process utilizes more reliable turbomachinery rather than reciprocating Stirling engines. The scale of 5-10 MWe is compatible with small tower systems under development by eSolar, which feature close-packed heliostat fields that have lower land usage than other CSP configurations.

### **Conclusion**

Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> operated in a closed-loop recompression Brayton cycle offers the potential of equivalent or higher cycle efficiency versus supercritical or superheated steam cycles at temperatures relevant for CSP applications. The S-CO<sub>2</sub> pressure is higher than superheated steam but lower than supercritical steam at temperatures of interest. The high pressure required for S-CO<sub>2</sub> makes application to trough fields difficult. A small tower design is recommended for simplicity in the power system. A single-phase process using S-CO<sub>2</sub> as both HTF and thermal cycle fluids would simplify the power block machinery and is compatible with sensible-heat thermal energy storage. The uncertainties in the utilization of such a cycle are: the high pressure required and lack of experience with closed loop Brayton cycles. This is an area of active research for next-generation nuclear power plants.

### **Acknowledgment**

This research is sponsored by the US Department of Energy under Agreement #15085.

### **References**

- [1] Vaclav Dostal, Pavel Hejzlar, Michael J. Driscoll, "High-Performance Supercritical Next-Generation Nuclear Reactors", Nuclear Technology Vol. 154, pp. 265-282, June 2006.
- [2] Vaclav Dostal, Pavel Hejzlar, Michael J. Driscoll, "The Supercritical Carbon Dioxide Power Cycle: Comparison to Other Advanced Power Cycles", Nuclear Technology Vol. 154, pp. 283-282, June 2006.
- [3] Argonne National Laboratory, "Performance Improvement Options for the Supercritical Carbon Dioxide Brayton Cycle," ANL-GenIV-103, 2007.
- [4] Chapman, D.J., and D. Arias, "An Assessment of the Supercritical Carbon Dioxide Cycle for Use in a Solar Parabolic Trough Power Plant," Proceedings of SCCO<sub>2</sub> Power Cycle Symposium 2009, Troy, NY April 29-30, 2009.
- [5] Wagner, M., "Simulation and Predictive Performance Modeling of Utility-Scale Central Receiver System Power Plants", M.S. thesis, University of Wisconsin, Madison, 2008.
- [6] Wright, S. A. et al., Operation and Analysis of a Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> Brayton Cycle, Sandia Report, No. SAND2010-0171, (2010).
- [7] Turchi, C., Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> for Application in Concentrating Solar Power Systems, Proceedings of SCCO<sub>2</sub> Power Cycle Symposium 2009, Troy, NY April 29-30, 2009.
- [8] Fuller, R. L., and Batton, W., Practical Considerations in Scaling Supercritical Carbon Dioxide Closed Brayton Cycle Power Systems, Proceedings of SCCO<sub>2</sub> Power Cycle Symposium 2009, RPI, Troy, NY, April 29-30, 2009.
- [9] Kelly, B., "Advanced Thermal Storage for Central Receivers with Supercritical Coolants," Final Report under Grant DE-FG36-08GO18149, Abengoa Solar Inc, Lakewood, CO, June 15, 2010.

# REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved  
OMB No. 0704-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Executive Services and Communications Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.

**PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ORGANIZATION.**

<b>1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)</b> March 2011			<b>2. REPORT TYPE</b> Conference Paper		<b>3. DATES COVERED (From - To)</b>	
<b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</b> Advanced Supercritical Carbon Dioxide Power Cycle Configurations for Use in Concentrating Solar Power Systems: Preprint					<b>5a. CONTRACT NUMBER</b> DE-AC36-08GO28308	
					<b>5b. GRANT NUMBER</b>	
					<b>5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER</b>	
<b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b> Z. Ma and C.S. Turchi					<b>5d. PROJECT NUMBER</b> NREL/CP-5500-50787	
					<b>5e. TASK NUMBER</b> CP09.8401	
					<b>5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER</b>	
<b>7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b> National Renewable Energy Laboratory 1617 Cole Blvd. Golden, CO 80401-3393					<b>8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER</b> NREL/CP-5500-50787	
<b>9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b>					<b>10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)</b> NREL	
					<b>11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER</b>	
<b>12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT</b> National Technical Information Service U.S. Department of Commerce 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161						
<b>13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b>						
<b>14. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Words)</b> The research will characterize and evaluate advanced S-CO2 Brayton cycle power generation with a modular power tower CSP system.						
<b>15. SUBJECT TERMS</b> S-CO2 Brayton cycle; CSP; power tower; solar						
<b>16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:</b>			<b>17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT</b> UL	<b>18. NUMBER OF PAGES</b>	<b>19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON</b>	
<b>a. REPORT</b> Unclassified	<b>b. ABSTRACT</b> Unclassified	<b>c. THIS PAGE</b> Unclassified			<b>19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)</b>	

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98)  
Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18